IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 252 of 2006 (M/B)

Smt. Vimla Devi and others ..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttaranchal, ... Respondents

None for the petitioners.
Sri D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.
Sri Lokendra Dobhal, Advocate for respondent no. 3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon. Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon. Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

None for the petitioners.

Sri D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.

Sri Lokendra Dobhal, Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. Sri D. K. Sharma, the learned Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by the petitioners seeking quashing of the first information report and the case registered against them now has become infructuous as the police after completion of investigation has filed charge-sheet against the petitioners before the concerned Magistrate.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

4. With the above order CLMA No. 5360 of 2006 also stands disposed of.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)**
10.05.2006 **10.05.2006**

G